1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
2	ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
3			
4			
5			
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9417 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12		·	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against,	Case No. 800-2015-016141	
14	JOHN FRANCIS MCGUIRE, M.D. 225 E. 2nd Avenue	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Escondido, California 92025-4212	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145,	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
17	Respondent.	`	
18			
19	FINDINGS OF FACT		
20	1. On or about October 26, 2017, Complainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 8002015016141 against John Francis McGuire, M.D.		
23	(Respondent) before the Medical Board of California.		
24	2. On or about May 4, 2005, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.		
25	A91145 to Respondent John Francis McGuire, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and		
26	Surgeon's Certificate expired on August 31, 2016, and has not been renewed. A true and correct		
27	111.		
28	111	<u>.</u>	
		1	

(JOHN FRANCIS MCGUIRE, M.D.) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER

.13

III

copy of Respondent's certified license history is attached as Exhibit 1 to the accompanying Default Decision Evidence Packet.<sup>1</sup>

- 3. On or about September 21, 2015, Judge Mark A. Mandio of the Riverside Superior Court, after considering Petitioner's Penal Code section 23 appearance and recommendation on behalf of the Board, issued an order that, as a condition of any bail, own recognizance release, or probation in the matter of *The People of the State of California v. John Francis McGuire*, Superior Court Case No. SWF1501417, Respondent be prohibited from engaging in the practice of medicine in the State of California pending the final resolution of the criminal proceedings and/or pending the completion of any term of probation imposed by the court following the final resolution of the criminal proceedings in Case No. SWF1501417. (Exhibit 2, Penal Code section 23 order.)
- 4. On or about October 26, 2017, Richard M. Acosta, an employee of the Complainant Agency, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 8002015016141, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 225 E. 2nd Avenue, Escondido, CA 92025-4212. A true and correct copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached, and are incorporated herein by reference. (Exhibit 3, Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service.)
- 5. On or about November 13, 2017, the Board received a signed U.S. Postal Service return receipt card indicating the aforementioned documents had been delivered on November 9, 2017. A true and correct copy of the signed return receipt is attached. (Exhibit B, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 6. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All exhibits are true and correct copies of the originals, and are attached to the accompanying Default Decision Evidence Packet. The Default Decision Evidence Packet is hereby incorporated by reference, in its entirety, as if fully set forth herein.

7. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:

"(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."

8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 9. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of Accusation No. 8002015016141, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 8002015016141. (Exhibit 5, Declaration of Deputy Attorney General Joseph F. McKenna III.)
  - 10. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense, or as applicable, notice of participation, or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

66 , 99

11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on

Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Exhibits 1 through 8, in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet," finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 8002015016141, and each of them, separately and severally, are true and correct.

## **JURISDICTION**

- 12. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 13. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - 14. Section 2232<sup>2</sup> of the Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) Except as provided in subdivisions (b), (c), and (d), the board shall promptly revoke the license of any person who, at any time after January 1, 1947, has been required to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code.

... ||| ||| |||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 2232 of the Code was amended on January 1, 2018. The operative statute applicable is the prior version. The text is cited for reference purposes only. Section 2232 of the Code provides:

<sup>(</sup>a) Except as provided in subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), the board shall automatically revoke the license of any person who, at any time after January 1, 1947, has been required to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code, regardless of whether the related conviction has been appealed. The board shall notify the licensee of the license revocation and of his or her right to elect to have a hearing as provided in subdivision (b).

15. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

66 25

- 16. Unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)
  - 17. Section 726 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division or under any initiative act referred to in this division.

- 18. Section 729 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Any physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, alcohol and drug abuse counselor or any person holding himself or herself out to be a physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor, who engages in an act of sexual intercourse, sodomy, oral copulation, or sexual contact with a patient or client, or with a former patient or client when the relationship was terminated primarily for the purpose of engaging in those acts, unless the physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor has referred the patient or client to an independent and objective physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor recommended by a third-

party physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor for treatment, is guilty of sexual exploitation by a physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor.

- "(b) Sexual exploitation by a physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor is a public offense:
- "(1) An act in violation of subdivision (a) shall be punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than six months, or a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by both that imprisonment and fine.

"(3) An act or acts in violation of subdivision (a) with two or more victims shall be punishable by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code for a period of 16 months, two years, or three years, and a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); or the act or acts shall be punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year, or a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by both that imprisonment and fine.

"For purposes of subdivision (a), in no instance shall consent of the patient or client be a defense. However, physicians and surgeons shall not be guilty of sexual exploitation for touching any intimate part of a patient or client unless the touching is outside the scope of medical examination and treatment, or the touching is done for sexual gratification.

- "(c) For purposes of this section:
- "...
- "(3) 'Sexual contact' means sexual intercourse or the touching of an intimate part of a patient for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse.
- "(4) 'Intimate part' and 'touching' have the same meanings as defined in Section 243.4 of the Penal Code.

"(d) In the investigation and prosecution of a violation of this section, no person shall seek to obtain disclosure of any confidential files of other patients, clients, or former patients or clients of the physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor.

66 22

#### 19. Section 2236 of the Code states:

- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- "(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality<sup>3</sup> of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and describe the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- "(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 2000, et seq.) means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

"(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

#### 20. Section 2236.1 of the Code states:

- "(a) A physician and surgeon's certificate shall be suspended automatically during any time that the holder of the certificate is incarcerated after conviction of a felony, regardless of whether the conviction has been appealed. The Division of Medical Quality shall, immediately upon receipt of the certified copy of the record of conviction, determine whether the certificate of the physician and surgeon has been automatically suspended by virtue of his or her incarceration, and if so, the duration of that suspension. The division shall notify the physician and surgeon of the license suspension and of his or her right to elect to have the issue of penalty heard as provided in this section.
- "(b) Upon receipt of the certified copy of the record of conviction, if after a hearing it is determined therefrom that the felony of which the licensee was convicted was substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, the Division of Medical Quality shall suspend the license until the time for appeal has elapsed, if no appeal has been taken, or until the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or has otherwise become final, and until further order of the division. The issue of substantial relationship shall be heard by an administrative law judge from the Medical Quality Hearing Panel sitting alone or with a panel of the division, in the discretion of the division.
- "(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), a conviction of any crime referred to in Section 2237, or a conviction of Section 187, 261, 262, or 288 of the Penal Code, shall be conclusively presumed to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon and no hearing shall be held on this issue. Upon its own motion or for good cause shown, the division may decline to

impose or may set aside the suspension when it appears to be in the interest of justice to do so, with due regard to maintaining the integrity of and confidence in the medical profession.

"(d)(1) Discipline may be ordered in accordance with Section 2227, or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed, the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment.

"(2) The issue of penalty shall be heard by an administrative law judge from the Medical Quality Hearing Panel sitting alone or with a panel of the division, in the discretion of the division. The hearing shall not be had until the judgment of conviction has become final or, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code, an order granting probation has been made suspending the imposition of sentence; except that a licensee may, at his or her option, elect to have the issue of penalty decided before those time periods have elapsed. Where the licensee so elects, the issue of penalty shall be heard in the manner described in this section at the hearing to determine whether the conviction was substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon. If the conviction of a licensee who has made this election is overturned on appeal, any discipline ordered pursuant to this section shall automatically cease. Nothing in this subdivision shall prohibit the division from pursuing disciplinary action based on any cause other than the overturned conviction.

"(e) The record of the proceedings resulting in the conviction, including a transcript of the testimony therein, may be received in evidence.

///

"(f) The other provisions of this article setting forth a procedure for the suspension or revocation of a physician and surgeon's certificate shall not apply to proceedings conducted pursuant to this section."

#### 21. Section 2246 of the Code states:

"Any proposed decision or decision issued under this article that contains any finding of fact that the licensee engaged in any act of sexual exploitation, as described in paragraphs (3) to (5), inclusive, of subdivision (b) of Section 729, with a patient shall contain an order of revocation. The revocation shall not be stayed by the administrative law judge."

22. Section 243.4 of the Penal Code states, in pertinent part:

"

"(c) Any person who touches an intimate part of another person for the purpose of sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, and the victim is at the time unconscious of the nature of the act because the perpetrator fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose, is guilty of sexual battery. A violation of this subdivision is punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for not more than one year, and by a fine not exceeding two thousand dollars (\$2,000); or by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four years, and by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000).

"

- "(f) As used in subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (d), 'touches' means physical contact with the skin of another person whether accomplished directly or through the clothing of the person committing the offense.
  - "(g) As used in this section, the following terms have the following meanings:
- "(1) 'Intimate part' means the sexual organ, anus, groin, or buttocks of any person, and the breast of a female.

"

28 | /

- "(4) 'Medically incapacitated' means a person who is incapacitated as a result of prescribed sedatives, anesthesia, or other medication.
- "(5) 'Institutionalized' means a person who is located voluntarily or involuntarily in a hospital, medical treatment facility, nursing home, acute care facility, or mental hospital.
- "(h) This section shall not be construed to limit or prevent prosecution under any other law which also proscribes a course of conduct that also is proscribed by this section.

66 )9

## 23. Section 290 of the Penal Code states:

- "(a) Sections 290 to 290.024, inclusive, shall be known and may be cited as the Sex Offender Registration Act. All references to 'the Act' in those sections are to the Sex Offender Registration Act.
- "(b) Every person described in subdivision (c), for the rest of his or her life while residing in California, or while attending school or working in California, as described in Sections 290.002 and 290.01, shall be required to register with the chief of police of the city in which he or she is residing, or the sheriff of the county if he or she is residing in an unincorporated area or city that has no police department, and, additionally, with the chief of police of a campus of the University of California, the California State University, or community college if he or she is residing upon the campus or in any of its facilities, within five working days of coming into, or changing his or her residence within, any city, county, or city and county, or campus in which he or she temporarily resides, and shall be required to register thereafter in accordance with the Act.
- "(c) The following persons shall be required to register: Any person who, since July 1, 1944, has been or is hereafter convicted in any court in this state or in any federal or military court of a violation of Section 187 committed in the perpetration, or an attempt to perpetrate, rape or any act punishable under Section 286, 288, 288a, or 289, Section 207 or 209 committed with intent to violate

Section 261, 286, 288, 288a, or 289, Section 220, except assault to commit mayhem, subdivision (b) and (c) of Section 236.1, Section 243.4, paragraph (1), (2), (3), (4), or (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 261, paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 262 involving the use of force or violence for which the person is sentenced to the state prison, Section 264.1, 266, or 266c, subdivision (b) of Section 266h, subdivision (b) of Section 266j, Section 266j, 267, 269, 285, 286, 288, 288a, 288.3, 288.4, 288.5, 288.7, 289, or 311.1, subdivision (b), (c), or (d) of Section 311.2, Section 311.3, 311.4, 311.10, 311.11, or 647.6, former Section 647a, subdivision (c) of Section 653f, subdivision 1 or 2 of Section 314, any offense involving lewd or lascivious conduct under Section 272, or any felony violation of Section 288.2; any statutory predecessor that includes all elements of one of the above-mentioned offenses; or any person who since that date has been or is hereafter convicted of the attempt or conspiracy to commit any of the above-mentioned offenses." (Emphasis added.)

# 24. Section 290.5 of the Penal Code states, in pertinent part:

"(a)(1) A person required to register under Section 290 for an offense not listed in paragraph (2), upon obtaining a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3, shall be relieved of any further duty to register under Section 290 if he or she is not in custody, on parole, or on probation.

"(2) A person required to register under Section 290, upon obtaining a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3, shall **not** be relieved of the duty to register under Section 290, or of the duty to register under Section 290 for any offense subject to that section of which he or she is convicted in the future, if his or her conviction is for one of the following offenses:

"..

"(C) Section 243.4, provided that the offense is a felony.

"(U) The attempted commission of any of the offenses specified in this paragraph.

"(b)(1) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), a person described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) shall **not** be relieved of the duty to register until that person has obtained a full pardon as provided in Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 4800) or Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 4850) of Title 6 of Part 3.

"..." (Emphasis added.)

25. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145 to disciplinary action under sections 2227, 2232, and 726, as defined by section 729, of the Code, and section 243.4, of the Penal Code, in that he engaged in sexual abuse and/or sexual exploitation of patients D.A., H.S., J.G., J.O., K.G., K.S., M.V., and T.M., (Exhibit 6, certified copy of Information, Case No. SWF1501417, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

## 26. Patient D.A.

**"**…

(a) On or about April 30, 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient D.A. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient D.A.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient D.A. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

#### 27. Patient H.S.

(a) On or about January 28, 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient H.S. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient H.S.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient H.S.

was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

## 28. Patient J.G.

- (a) In or around 2013, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient J.G. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient J.G.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient J.G. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.
- (b) In or around April 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient J.G. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient J.G.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient J.G. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

# 29. Patient J.O.

(a) In or around March 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient J.O. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient J.O.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient J.O. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

# 30. Patient K.G.

(a) On or about June 24, 2014, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in

sexual contact with patient K.G. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient K.G.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient K.G. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

# 31. Patient K.S.

(a) In or around 2011, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient K.S. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient K.S.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient K.S. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

## 32. Patient M.V.

(a) In or around March 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient M.V. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient M.V.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient M.V. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

# 33. Patient T.M.

(a) In or around 2014, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient T.M. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient T.M.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient T.M.

was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

- (b) On or about April 29, 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient T.M. and against her will.
- 34. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- 35. On or about August 4, 2016, the Riverside County District Attorney's Office filed an Information against Respondent in the matter of *The People of the State of California v. John Francis McGuire*, Superior Court Case No. SWF1501417 (Exhibit 6, Information, Case No. SWF1501417). The Information charged Respondent with thirty-one (31) felonies including:
  - (a) Three counts of violating Penal Code section 261, subdivision (a), subsection (2), [rape by force];
  - (b) Fourteen counts of violating Penal Code section 243.4, subdivision (c), [sexual battery by fraudulent representation of professional purpose];
  - (c) Twelve counts of violating Business and Professions Code section 729, subdivision (b), subsection (3), [sexual exploitation of a patient by physician and surgeon];
  - (d) One count of attempted violation of Penal Code section 664/243.4, subdivision (c); and
  - (e) One count of attempted violation of Business and Professions Code section 664/729, subdivision (b), subsection (3).
- 36. On or about February 28, 2017, after an eleven (11) day jury trial, Respondent was found guilty by the jury on twenty-six (26) counts of the Information including, ten (10) counts of violating Penal Code section 243.4, subdivision (c), as felonies. (Exhibit 7, certified copy of Minute Order dated February 28, 2017.)

- 37. On or about April 21, 2017, Respondent was sentenced by the court to state prison for a total term of fourteen (14) years and ten (10) months. The court also ordered that, upon his release from prison, Respondent must immediately register with local law enforcement pursuant to the requirements of Penal Code section 290. (Exhibit 8, certified copy of Minute Order dated April 21, 2017.)
- 38. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, of the Code, in that he has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent John Francis McGuire, M.D., has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 91145 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. Pursuant to its authority under California Government Code section 11520, and based on the evidence before it, the Board hereby finds that the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 8002015016141, and the Findings of Fact contained in paragraphs 1 through 38, above, and each of them, separately and severally are true and correct.
- 4. Pursuant to its authority under California Government Code section 11520, and by reason of the Findings of Fact contained in paragraphs 1 through 38, above, and Determination of Issues 1, 2, and 3, above, the Board hereby finds that Respondent John Francis McGuire, M.D., has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 91145 to action in that:
  - (a) Respondent has engaged in sexual abuse and/or sexual exploitation of patients D.A., H.S., J.G., J.O., K.G., K.S., M.V., and T.M., in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 2227, 2232, and 726, as defined by section 729 of the Code and section 243.4 of the Penal Code;

- (b) Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 2227, 2234, as defined by 2236, subdivision (a) of the Code; and
- (c) Respondent has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 25, 26, 27, and 28, above, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234 of the Code.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145, heretofore issued to Respondent John Francis McGuire, M.D., is revoked.

If Respondent ever files an application for licensure in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked license. Respondent must comply with all laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license at the time that the application for relicensure or petition for reinstatement is filed.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on April 13, 2018, at 5:00 p.m.

It is so ORDERED March 15, 2018

FOR THE MEDICAL BOART OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

		·	
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
2	ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General	FILED	
3	JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
4	State Bar No. 231195 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	SACRAMENTO OCT. 26 2017 BY 2 ANALYST	
5	San Diego, California 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9417 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
- 9			
10	BEFORE THE		
.11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-016141	
14	John Francis McGuire, M.D.	ACCUSATION	
15	225 E. 2nd Avenue, #202   Escondido, CA 92025-4212		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
17	No. A91145,		
İ	Respondent.		
18			
19		÷	
20	Complainant alleges:		
21	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
23	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer		
24	Affairs (Board).		
25	2. On or about May 4, 2005, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.		
26	A91145 to John Francis McGuire, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's		
27	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. The		
28	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate expired on August 31, 2016, and has not been renewed.		
	1		
I	l		

(JOHN FRANCIS MCGUIRE, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2015-016141

3. On or about September 21, 2015, Judge Mark A. Mandio of the Riverside Superior Court, after considering Petitioner's Penal Code section 23 appearance and recommendation on behalf of the Board, issued an order that, as a condition of any bail, own recognizance release, or probation in the matter of *The People of the State of California v. John Francis McGuire*, Superior Court Case No. SWF1501417, Respondent be prohibited from engaging in the practice of medicine in the State of California pending the final resolution of the criminal proceedings and/or pending the completion of any term of probation imposed by the court following the final resolution of the criminal proceedings in Case No. SWF1501417.

## **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - Section 2232 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) Except as provided in subdivisions (b), (c), and (d), the board shall promptly revoke the license of any person who, at any time after January 1, 1947, has been required to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code.

66 "

7. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or

////

11-

abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

66 99

- 8. Unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)
  - 9. Section 726 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
    - "(a) The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division or under any initiative act referred to in this division.

- 10. Section 729 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Any physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, alcohol and drug abuse counselor or any person holding himself or herself out to be a physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor, who engages in an act of sexual intercourse, sodomy, oral copulation, or sexual contact with a patient or client, or with a former patient or client when the relationship was terminated primarily for the purpose of engaging in those acts, unless the physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor has referred the patient or client to an independent and objective physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor recommended by a third-party physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor for treatment, is guilty of sexual exploitation by a physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor.
- "(b) Sexual exploitation by a physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor is a public offense:

"(1) An act in violation of subdivision (a) shall be punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than six months, or a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by both that imprisonment and fine.

".,,

"(3) An act or acts in violation of subdivision (a) with two or more victims shall be punishable by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code for a period of 16 months, two years, or three years, and a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); or the act or acts shall be punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year, or a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by both that imprisonment and fine.

"For purposes of subdivision (a), in no instance shall consent of the patient or client be a defense. However, physicians and surgeons shall not be guilty of sexual exploitation for touching any intimate part of a patient or client unless the touching is outside the scope of medical examination and treatment, or the touching is done for sexual gratification.

"(c) For purposes of this section:

. ". .

- "(3) 'Sexual contact' means sexual intercourse or the touching of an intimate part of a patient for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse.
- "(4) 'Intimate part' and 'touching' have the same meanings as defined in Section 243.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(d) In the investigation and prosecution of a violation of this section, no person shall seek to obtain disclosure of any confidential files of other patients, clients, or former patients or clients of the physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or alcohol and drug abuse counselor.

"…"

#### 11. Section 2236 of the Code states:

- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- "(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality<sup>1</sup> of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and describe the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- "(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 2000, et seq.) means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

#### 12. Section 2236.1 of the Code states:

"(a) A physician and surgeon's certificate shall be suspended automatically during any time that the holder of the certificate is incarcerated after conviction of a felony, regardless of whether the conviction has been appealed. The Division of Medical Quality shall, immediately upon receipt of the certified copy of the record of conviction, determine whether the certificate of the physician and surgeon has been automatically suspended by virtue of his or her incarceration, and if so, the duration of that suspension. The division shall notify the physician and surgeon of the license suspension and of his or her right to elect to have the issue of penalty heard as provided in this section.

"(b) Upon receipt of the certified copy of the record of conviction, if after a hearing it is determined therefrom that the felony of which the licensee was convicted was substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, the Division of Medical Quality shall suspend the license until the time for appeal has elapsed, if no appeal has been taken, or until the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or has otherwise become final, and until further order of the division. The issue of substantial relationship shall be heard by an administrative law judge from the Medical Quality Hearing Panel sitting alone or with a panel of the division, in the discretion of the division.

"(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), a conviction of any crime referred to in Section 2237, or a conviction of Section 187, 261, 262, or 288 of the Penal Code, shall be conclusively presumed to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon and no hearing shall be held on this issue. Upon its own motion or for good cause shown, the division may decline to impose or may set aside the suspension when it appears to be in the interest of justice to do so, with due regard to maintaining the integrity of and confidence in the medical profession.

2:5

- "(2) The issue of penalty shall be heard by an administrative law judge from the Medical Quality Hearing Panel sitting alone or with a panel of the division, in the discretion of the division. The hearing shall not be had until the judgment of conviction has become final or, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code, an order granting probation has been made suspending the imposition of sentence; except that a licensee may, at his or her option, elect to have the issue of penalty decided before those time periods have elapsed. Where the licensee so elects, the issue of penalty shall be heard in the manner described in this section at the hearing to determine whether the conviction was substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon. If the conviction of a licensee who has made this election is overturned on appeal, any discipline ordered pursuant to this section shall automatically cease. Nothing in this subdivision shall prohibit the division from pursuing disciplinary action based on any cause other than the overturned conviction.
- "(e) The record of the proceedings resulting in the conviction, including a transcript of the testimony therein, may be received in evidence.
- "(f) The other provisions of this article setting forth a procedure for the suspension or revocation of a physician and surgeon's certificate shall not apply to proceedings conducted pursuant to this section."

#### 13. Section 2246 of the Code states:

"Any proposed decision or decision issued under this article that contains any finding of fact that the licensee engaged in any act of sexual exploitation, as described in paragraphs (3) to (5), inclusive, of subdivision (b) of Section 729, with a patient shall contain an order of revocation. The revocation shall not be stayed by the administrative law judge."

14. Section 243.4 of the Penal Code states, in pertinent part:

-66

"(c) Any person who touches an intimate part of another person for the purpose of sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, and the victim is at the time unconscious of the nature of the act because the perpetrator fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose, is guilty of sexual battery. A violation of this subdivision is punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for not more than one year, and by a fine not exceeding two thousand dollars (\$2,000); or by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four years, and by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000).

"

- "(f) As used in subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (d), 'touches' means physical contact with the skin of another person whether accomplished directly or through the clothing of the person committing the offense.
  - "(g) As used in this section, the following terms have the following meanings:
- "(1) 'Intimate part' means the sexual organ, anus, groin, or buttocks of any person, and the breast of a female.

- "(4) 'Medically incapacitated' means a person who is incapacitated as a result of prescribed sedatives, anesthesia, or other medication.
- "(5) 'Institutionalized' means a person who is located voluntarily or involuntarily in a hospital, medical treatment facility, nursing home, acute care facility, or mental hospital.

28.

"(h) This section shall not be construed to limit or prevent prosecution under any other law which also proscribes a course of conduct that also is proscribed by this section.

`````

#### 15. Section 290 of the Penal Code states:

- "(a) Sections 290 to 290.024, inclusive, shall be known and may be cited as the Sex Offender Registration Act. All references to 'the Act' in those sections are to the Sex Offender Registration Act.
- "(b) Every person described in subdivision (c), for the rest of his or her life while residing in California, or while attending school or working in California, as described in Sections 290.002 and 290.01, shall be required to register with the chief of police of the city in which he or she is residing, or the sheriff of the county if he or she is residing in an unincorporated area or city that has no police department, and, additionally, with the chief of police of a campus of the University of California, the California State University, or community college if he or she is residing upon the campus or in any of its facilities, within five working days of coming into, or changing his or her residence within, any city, county, or city and county, or campus in which he or she temporarily resides, and shall be required to register thereafter in accordance with the Act.
- "(c) The following persons shall be required to register: Any person who, since July 1, 1944, has been or is hereafter convicted in any court in this state or in any federal or military court of a violation of Section 187 committed in the perpetration, or an attempt to perpetrate, rape or any act punishable under Section 286, 288, 288a, or 289, Section 207 or 209 committed with intent to violate Section 261, 286, 288, 288a, or 289, Section 220, except assault to commit mayhem, subdivision (b) and (c) of Section 236.1, Section 243.4, paragraph (1), (2), (3), (4), or (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 261, paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 262 involving the use of force or violence for which the person is

sentenced to the state prison, Section 264.1, 266, or 266c, subdivision (b) of Section 266h, subdivision (b) of Section 266i, Section 266j, 267, 269, 285, 286, 288, 288a, 288.3, 288.4, 288.5, 288.7, 289, or 311.1, subdivision (b), (c), or (d) of Section 311.2, Section 311.3, 311.4, 311.10, 311.11, or 647.6, former Section 647a, subdivision (c) of Section 653f, subdivision 1 or 2 of Section 314, any offense involving lewd or lascivious conduct under Section 272, or any felony violation of Section 288.2; any statutory predecessor that includes all elements of one of the above-mentioned offenses; or any person who since that date has been or is hereafter convicted of the attempt or conspiracy to commit any of the abovementioned offenses." (Emphasis added.)

# Section 290.5 of the Penal Code states, in pertinent part:

"(a)(1) A person required to register under Section 290 for an offense not listed in paragraph (2), upon obtaining a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3, shall be relieved of any further duty to register under Section 290 if he or she is not in custody, on parole, or on probation.

"(2) A person required to register under Section 290, upon obtaining a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3, shall not be relieved of the duty to register under Section 290, or of the duty to register under Section 290 for any offense subject to that section of which he or she is convicted in the future, if his or her conviction is for one of the following offenses:

"(C) Section 243.4, provided that the offense is a felony.

"(U) The attempted commission of any of the offenses specified in this paragraph.

"(b)(1) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), a person described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) shall **not** be relieved of the duty to register until that person has obtained a full pardon as provided in Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 4800) or Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 4850) of Title 6 of Part 3.

"..." (Emphasis added.)

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Exploitation of Patients)

17. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145 to disciplinary action under sections 2227, 2232, and 726, as defined by section 729, of the Code, and section 243.4, of the Penal Code, in that he engaged in sexual abuse and/or sexual exploitation of patients D.A., H.S., J.G., J.O., K.G., K.S., M.V., and T.M., as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

# 18. Patient D.A.

(a) On or about April 30, 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient D.A. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient D.A.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient D.A. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

#### 19. Patient H.S.

(a) On or about January 28, 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient H.S. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient H.S.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient H.S. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

## 20. Patient J.G.

- (a) In or around 2013, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient J.G. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient J.G.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient J.G. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.
- (b) In or around April 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient J.G. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient J.G.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient J.G. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

#### 21. <u>Patient J.O.</u>

(a) In or around March 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient J.O. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient J.O.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient J.O. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

# 22. Patient K.G.

(a) On or about June 24, 2014, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient K.G. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient K.G.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's

sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient K.G. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

#### 23. Patient K.S.

(a) In or around 2011, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient K.S. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient K.S.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient K.S. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

#### 24. Patient M.V.

(a) In or around March 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient M.V. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient M.V.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient M.V. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

#### 25. Patient T.M.

(a) In or around 2014, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient T.M. and against her will, including, but not limited to, touching an intimate part of patient T.M.'s body for the purpose of Respondent's sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, while at the time patient T.M. was unconscious of the nature of the sexual contact because Respondent had fraudulently represented that the touching served a professional purpose.

(b) On or about April 29, 2015, Respondent, during the performance of his duties as a physician and surgeon in a hospital and/or clinical setting, engaged in sexual contact with patient T.M. and against her will.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Conviction of a Crime Substantially Related to the Qualifications, Functions, or Duties of a Physician and Surgeon)

- 26. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A91145 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- 27. On or about August 4, 2016, the Riverside County District Attorney's Office filed an Information against Respondent in the matter of *The People of the State of California v. John Francis McGuire*, Superior Court Case No. SWF1501417. The Information charged Respondent with thirty-one (31) felonies including:
  - (a) Three counts of violating Penal Code section 261, subdivision (a), subsection (2), [rape by force];
  - (b) Fourteen counts of violating Penal Code section 243.4, subdivision (c), [sexual battery by fraudulent representation of professional purpose];
  - (c) Twelve counts of violating Business and Professions Code section 729, subdivision (b), subsection (3), [sexual exploitation of a patient by physician and surgeon];
  - (d) One count of attempted violation of Penal Code section 664/243.4, subdivision (c); and
  - (e) One count of attempted violation of Business and Professions Code section 664/729, subdivision (b), subsection (3).
- 28. On or about February 28, 2017, after an eleven (11) day jury trial, Respondent was found guilty by the jury on twenty-six (26) counts of the Information including, ten (10) counts of violating Penal Code section 243.4, subdivision (c), as felonies.

28 || ////

2.7